

Search Notes



Application/Control No.

09/941,997

Examiner

Ja-Na Hines

Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination

SHI ET AL.

Art Unit

1645

SEARCHED

| Class | Subclass | Date | Examiner |
|--|----------|------|----------|
| Updated previous searches 11/07/07 | | | |
| Updated search STIC searches Inventor Search 5/10/07 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED

| Class | Subclass | Date | Examiner |
|-------|----------|------|----------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

SEARCH NOTES (INCLUDING SEARCH STRATEGY)

| | DATE | EXMR |
|---|------|------|
| Updated commercial databases search, biosis, medline, agricola, scisearch, biotechno, wpids, caplus, | | |
| See SCORE search, search of sequences, inventors, related applications and patents | | |
| updated previous searches 11/07/07 | | |
| <p>Consulted BPS Efer about claim 1 with respect to the 112nd rejection and the lack of clarity within the claim.</p> <p>112ST rejection over possession was discussed however the prior art + state of the art and the rejection already been being made made over the polypeptide having a fragment longer than seq 101/102 being addressed; the written description was no longer necessary.</p> <p>also claim 9, and the application of art rejections was determined and discussed. 11/07/07</p> | | |
| updated previous searches 5/10/07 | | |